## **CLAIMS**

## What is claimed is:

- 1. A distributed architecture of an information handling system, comprising:
  - a buried nucleus inaccessible for inspection without heroic means while said buried nucleus is in operation; and
  - a trusted authority for generating a secure protocol, said secure protocol controlling operation of said buried nucleus.
- 2. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one LFSR (linear feedback shift register).
- 3. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one reconfigurable core.
- 4. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one programmable logic block.
- 5. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one non-volatile RAM.
- 6. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one matrix multiplier.
- 7. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said trusted authority is a backend secure server.

- 8. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said trusted authority is a cell phone operator with a trusted command and control center.
- 9. The distributed architecture of claim 1, wherein said trusted authority is an encrypted medium.

- 10. A distributed architecture of an information handling system, comprising:
  - (a) a hardware/software system, comprising:

a microchip including an outer region having I/O pins and a buried nucleus inaccessible for inspection without heroic means when said buried nucleus is in operation; and

external software connected to said I/O pins for controlling said I/O pins; and

- (b) a trusted authority for generating a secure protocol, said secure protocol controlling operation of said hardware/software system;
- (c) wherein said buried nucleus is equipped to accept and decipher an encrypted key delivered through said secure protocol.
- 11. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one LFSR (linear feedback shift register).
- 12. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one reconfigurable core.
- 13. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one programmable logic block.
- 14. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one non-volatile RAM.
- 15. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said buried nucleus includes at least one matrix multiplier.

- 16. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said encrypted key is encrypted with digital watermarking.
- 17. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said encrypted key is encrypted with a fast elliptical algorithm.
- 18. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said encrypted key is encrypted with Triple DES.
- 19. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said encrypted key is encrypted with a Rijndael algorithm.
- 20. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said trusted authority is a back-end secure server.
- 21. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said trusted authority is a cell phone operator with a trusted command and control center.
- 22. The distributed architecture of claim 10, wherein said trusted authority is an encrypted medium.

- 23. A method for protecting encrypted information, comprising steps of:
  - (a) setting a buried nucleus in a quasi-stable mode of operation; and
  - (b) stopping clocking when said buried nucleus deviates from said quasi-stable mode.
- 24. The method of claim 23, wherein said step (a) comprising:
  - (a1) delivering a key through a secure protocol to said buried nucleus;
  - (a2) setting up a bit string by said key; and
  - (a3) giving a set of timer banks a pseudorandom temporal variability by said bit string.
- 25. The method of claim 23, further comprising:
  - (c) rebuilding a secure environment within said buried nucleus after an intrusion is detected; and
  - (d) resetting to zero when replication of re-buildup by an attacker is detected.

- 26. An apparatus for protecting encrypted information, comprising:
  - (a) means for setting a buried nucleus in a quasi-stable mode of operation; and
  - (b) means for stopping clocking when said buried nucleus deviates from said quasi-stable mode.
- 27. The apparatus of claim 26, wherein said means (a) comprising:
  - (a1) means for delivering a key through a secure protocol to said buried nucleus;
  - (a2) means for setting up a bit string by said key; and
  - (a3) means for giving a set of timer banks a pseudorandom temporal variability by said bit string.
- 28. The apparatus of claim 26, further comprising:
  - (c) means for rebuilding a secure environment within said buried nucleus after an intrusion is detected; and
  - (d) means for resetting to zero when replication of re-buildup by an attacker is detected.

- 29. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method comprising steps of:
  - (a) setting a buried nucleus in a quasi-stable mode of operation; and
  - (b) stopping clocking when said buried nucleus deviates from said quasi-stable mode.
- 30. The computer-readable medium of claim 29, wherein said step (a) comprising:
  - (a1) delivering a key through a secure protocol to said buried nucleus;
  - (a2) setting up a bit string by said key; and
  - (a3) giving a set of timer banks a pseudorandom temporal variability by said bit string.
- 31. The computer-readable medium of claim 29, wherein said method further comprising:
  - (c) rebuilding a secure environment within said buried nucleus after an intrusion is detected; and
  - (d) resetting to zero when replication of re-buildup by an attacker is detected.